Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No	o. Applicar	nt(s)
	09/749,799	NOJIMA	ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H Zurita	3625	
All Participants:	Status of Ap	oplication:	
(1) <u>James H Zurita</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>James McKeown</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 May 2005	Time: <u>545pr</u>	<u>n EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representa No	tive)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
·	•		
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH attorney McKeown confirmed no response was filed to		OF WHAT WAS DISCUS	SED:
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ition. The examiner will permitted the suite of the suite	provide a written summands	ary of the substance since the interview
James Lunte, Examiner all 3625		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Rep	oresentative Signature –	if appropriate)